RESUMO.- [Protocolos para o preparo de plasma rico em plaquetas (PRP) em cavalos Quarto de Milha.] Este estudo comparou dois protocolos de preparo de plasma rico em plaquetas (PRP) e avaliou a associação entre dois métodos de contagem plaquetária – um manual e outro automático através de um estudo prospectivo. Sangue venoso de oito equinos da raça Quarto de Milha foi coletado e em seguida foi centrifugado duas vezes, utilizando-se dois diferentes protocolos, incluindo um período de descanso da amostra antes da primeira centrifugação. A contagem plaquetária ao início, no meio e ao final dos protocolos foi realizada manualmente ou com um contador automatizado, seguida de comparação entre os dois métodos. Para investigar o processo de degranulação plaquetária ocorrido durante o preparo do PRP, o fator de crescimento vascular endotelial (VEGF) foi mensurado em cada estágio dos protocolos. O método do protocolo com descanso antes da primeira centrifugação proporcionou a obtenção de um PRP mais concentrado, além de demonstrar que tanto o método manual como automatizado são comparáveis e podem ser usados indistintamente para contagem plaquetária. A concentração de VEGF não diferiu significativamente entre os protocolos ou entre os estágios do protocolo. Os resultados indicam que o protocolo que permite um descanso antes da primeira centrifugação resultará em um produto de PRP mais concentrado, incluindo mais α-granules. Portanto, Protocolo II é recomendado. Ambos os métodos de contagem plaquetária (manual e automatizado) fornecem resultados confiáveis que não interferem na avaliação do produto final do PRP.
sao comparáveis e podem ser usados indiferentemente. A concentração de VEGF não foi significativamente diferente entre os estágios de preparo do PRP. Os resultados indicam que o método de preparo afeta a quantidade de plaquetas obtidas no PRP, apesar da degranulação plaquetária não ter sido observada, como evidenciado pela concentração estável de VEGF. Uma maior concentração de plaquetas no PRP é desejável, pois indica que um maior número de α-grânulos estará presente na amostra, portanto, conclui-se que o Protocolo II é mais recomendável. Tanto o método manual, quanto o automatizado, pode ser usado de maneira confiável para a contagem plaquetária, não interferindo com a avaliação do produto final (PRP).
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INTRODUCTION

Platelets are produced in the bone marrow from cytoplasmic fragments of megakaryocytes and have essential functions in healing, reepithelization, and conservation of vascular integrity through interactions with endothelial cells (Comar et al. 2009). Numerous growth factors (GFs) are stored inside α-granules in the platelets, and once released will act on regulatory processes, including tissue regeneration, chemotaxis, cell proliferation, angiogenesis, immunological regulation and modulation of inflammatory processes (Anitua et al. 2004).

Platelet rich plasma (PRP) is an autologous biological preparation with regenerative properties conferred by the large concentration of platelets and GFs it contains (Andrade et al. 2016), including vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which is the most important angiogenic growth factor, but also promotes vascular permeability and chemotaxis of cells during inflammation (Ng et al. 2006). PRP was first described in the 1970s, although the biological functions of GFs contained in PRP were only investigated later (Ross et al. 1986). More recently, interest in PRP as a therapeutic agent for the treatment of orthopedic (Carmona Ramírez & Prades 2006, Bosch et al. 2010, Brossi et al. 2015) and skin conditions has increased (DeRossi et al. 2009, Maciel et al. 2012).

Recent reviews on the effects of PRP application in different fields of veterinary (Marcazzan et al. 2018, Tambella et al. 2018) and human medicine (Sheth et al. 2012, Laudy et al. 2015, Bos-Mikich et al. 2018) and although opinions vary as far as the possible applications of PRP in regenerative medicine most critics agree that lack of standardization of protocols for preparation of PRP is negatively impacting on the advance of the use of this bioproduct (Chahla et al. 2017).

Various techniques for equine PRP preparation have been suggested (DeRossi et al. 2009, Vendruscolo et al. 2012) but there is no consensus regarding the gold standard protocol. Differences in centrifugation time, speed, and resting of the sample could potentially influence the concentration of platelets and GFs in the final product, which likely influences the effects of PRP itself (Da Fontoura Pereira et al. 2013). Table 1 summarizes information available in the current literature concerning GFs, while Table 2 summarizes published protocols for harvesting PRP.

The goal of PRP preparation protocol should be to obtain a small volume of plasma with extremely high concentrations of platelets and GFs and minimal concentrations of erythrocytes and leukocytes when compared to the original blood sample (Vendramin et al. 2006, Da Fontoura Pereira et al. 2013).

Table 1. Summary of the current literature regarding source and function of growth factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth factor</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TGF-b</td>
<td>Platelets, neutrophils, macrophages, monocytes, natural cell killers, Th1 cells, bone extracellular matrix and cartilaginous matrix</td>
<td>Regulates the proliferation of undifferentiated mesenchymal cells, fibroblast and osteoblast mitogen, endothelial regulator and regulator of the collagen synthesis and secretion of collagenase, stimulates angiogenesis and endothelial chemotaxis, inhibits the proliferation of macrophages and lymphocytes.</td>
<td>Raines &amp; Ross (1982), Martekosi Cebinelli et al. (2016), Gatica et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGF</td>
<td>Platelets, macrophages, chondrocytes, osteoblasts and mesenchymal cells</td>
<td>Mitogen for mesenchymal cells, chondrocytes and osteoblasts, stimulates the growth and differentiation of chondrocytes and osteoblasts.</td>
<td>Raines &amp; Ross (1982), Kharitonenkov &amp; Dimarchi (2017), Ornitz &amp; Itoh (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGF a-b</td>
<td>Platelets, macrophages/monocytes, endothelial cells, osteoblasts and smooth muscle cells</td>
<td>Stimulates the chemotaxis and mitosis of fibroblasts, smooth and glia muscle cells, regulates the secretion of collagenase and collagen synthesis, mitogen for mesenchymal cells and osteoblasts, stimulates the chemotaxy of macrophages and neutrophils.</td>
<td>Raines &amp; Ross (1982), Hye Kim et al. (2015), Heldin et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidermic growth factor</td>
<td>Platelets, macrophages/monocytes</td>
<td>Stimulates mitosis of mesenchymal cells, regulates the secretion of collagenase, stimulates chemotaxis and angiogenesis of endothelial cells.</td>
<td>Raines &amp; Ross (1982), Wee &amp; Wang (2017), Brown et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>Platelets, endothelial cells</td>
<td>Stimulates mitosis of endothelial cells, increases angiogenesis and permeability of the vessel.</td>
<td>Raines &amp; Ross (1982), Simons et al. (2016), Dehghani et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF</td>
<td>Platelets, macrophages, osteoblasts, bone matrix and mesenchymal cells</td>
<td>Stimulates the differentiation and mitogenesis of mesenchymal cells and of lining cells, stimulates osteoblasts and the production of type I collagen, osteocalcin and alkaline phosphatase.</td>
<td>Raines &amp; Ross (1982), Frater et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Platelet degranulation usually starts within one hour of blood collection and the clinical effects of this degranulation are controversial (Prado Vendruscolo et al. 2014). One way of assessing platelet degranulation is the measurement of P-selectin (Vestweber & Blanks 1999) but other substances present in the α-granules, such as VEGF, are likely candidates (Engels et al. 2015). The literature suggests that procedures with either single (Messora et al. 2009) or double (Carmona Ramirez & Prades 2006, Vendramin et al. 2006) centrifugations, or consecutive centrifugations with increasing speeds can produce satisfactory PRP, bearing in mind that to qualify as “PRP” the end product must have at least a three-fold increase in platelets in relation to the original blood sample (Marx et al. 1998).

Platelet counts can be done via the direct manual method or using an automated protocol, although the International Council for Standardization of Haematology (ICSH) considers the manual method utilizing dilution, lysis and direct count with a hemocytometer as the gold standard (Engels et al. 2004), and that in high throughput situations the manual count is unrealistic and should be replaced by the automated method, which provides a coefficient of variation below 10% in platelet counts between 40,000 and 500,000/µL (Veloso et al. 2011).

This study evaluated two different methods of PRP preparation to investigate if there was a significant difference in the concentration of platelets between the two, and compared platelet counts via the manual and the automated methods to investigate their association. In order to evaluate platelet degranulation during PRP preparation, VEGF was measured. The working hypotheses, based on the current status of knowledge, were that protocol preparation would influence platelet concentration in PRP, that manual and automated methods for platelet counting would provide similar results at different stages of the preparation protocols, and that one protocol might be more efficacious in preventing platelet degranulation.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Ethics statement.** This project was approved by the “Universidade Federal Fluminense” Ethics Committee (CEUA-UFF) under number 767.

**Animals.** Eight clinically healthy Quarter Horses, between five and seven years of age, including four males and four females, stabled at the same property under the same management conditions were recruited to participate in this research. Animals were kept in individual stalls during the night with daily access to paddocks. Feeding regimes included *Pennisetum purpureum* Schumach grass, alfalfa, commercial hard food twice daily, mineral salt, and fresh water ad libitum. Animals were not fasted prior to collection, although blood samples were taken early in the morning, prior to any food being provided. Inclusion criteria were: absence of hematological alterations, a normal clinical examination, no lameness or signs of active inflammation (localized or general), and not having been vaccinated against infectious diseases in the previous 60 days. After collection of blood samples, initial platelet count via the manual method had to be between 100.000 and 260.000/µL for the horse to remain in the study.

**Study design.** Blood collection was conducted by venipuncture of the external jugular vein utilizing vacuum tubes containing 3.2% sodium citrate.

Twelve tubes per animal were collected to obtain an initial volume of blood of 60mL; tubes were separated in two groups of six tubes, one group per PRP protocol. The blood in the tubes was mixed by gentle inversion (10x) after which a 20µL aliquot was separated and diluted in 1.980µL of ammonium oxalate 2% for cell lysis. This aliquot was then submitted to the initial platelet count in the hemocytometer according to the method described in the
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### Table 2. Summary of the current literature regarding protocols for harvesting platelet rich plasma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Number of centrifugations</th>
<th>Gravitational acceleration/ minutes</th>
<th>Initial platelet concentration (10^3/µL)</th>
<th>Final platelet concentration (PRP) (10^3/µL)</th>
<th>Resting time</th>
<th>Result achieved expressed as % of concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al. (2018)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>900g for 15 min 200g for 15 min</td>
<td>101.83</td>
<td>542.50</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraldo et al. (2015)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>120g for 5 min 240g for 5 min 3500g for 8 min</td>
<td>143.8</td>
<td>390.6</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuffova et al. (2013)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1500rpm for 5 min</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>466.5</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frye et al. (2016)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>120g for 4 min 1,050g for 9 min</td>
<td>214.70</td>
<td>1383.96</td>
<td>30-120 min</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwirant et al. (2019)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>224g for 10 min 440g for 10 min</td>
<td>180.12</td>
<td>840.82</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miranda et al. (2018a)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>133g for 8 min</td>
<td>133.36</td>
<td>189.21</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miranda et al. (2018b)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>120g for 10 min 240g for 10 min</td>
<td>159.6</td>
<td>567.7</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonilla-Gutiérrez et al. (2018)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>120g for 5 min 240g for 5 min</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tian et al. (2019)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>900g for 5 min 1500g for 15 min</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>1218</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiong et al. (2018)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>180g for 10 min</td>
<td>216.4</td>
<td>525.7</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Protocols for preparation of platelet rich plasma (PRP) in Quarter Horses

Simultaneously another 100µL aliquot of the sample was processed in the automated equipment for automated cell (including leukocytes) and platelet counts. Packed cell volume was done in a microtube centrifuge and calculated hematocrit in the automated equipment calibrated for horses.

Protocols. PRP Protocol I consisted of immediate centrifugation of the six vacuum tubes in a bench top centrifuge for 10 minutes at 120g for initial plasma separation, after which the top 2/3 of the supernatant in each of the vacuum tubes was aspirated with a single channel pipette, aliquoted into microtubes, and frozen in liquid nitrogen for VEGF measurement. The final third of the supernatant and the buffy coat were aspirated as a pool into glass tubes and submitted to a second centrifugation for 10 minutes at 240g, after which the separation of the top 2/3 and the final third of the supernatant was repeated as described above. The final third, considered to be the PRP, was subjected to a period of rest at room temperature for two hours. Average PRP yield volume was 2.5ml. At the end of the rest period a platelet count on the PRP product was conducted manually and with the automated equipment, as described for the initial sample. Aliquots for VEGF measurement were also separated from the PRP final product. PRP Protocol II consisted of an initial rest period of two hours at room temperature followed by the same centrifugations and supernatant separations as described above for Protocol I. The final PRP product, (average PRP yield volume obtained was 2.5ml), was then submitted to platelet counts, both manually and by the automated method, as described above. Figure 1 details the methods, sample rest moments and aliquot separation times.

Concentration of VEGF. For VEGF concentration, Equine VEGF-A “Do-it-yourself” ELISA kit (Kingfisher, DIY0705E-003) was used according to manufacturer’s instructions. Buffer dilution and concentrations were optimized in our lab and details are published elsewhere (Mello Costa 2017). Aliquots from three distinct points during PRP preparation were analyzed (after the first centrifugation, after the second centrifugation and at the completion of PRP preparation) and compared, as described in the PRP protocol description.

Statistics. ANOVA was utilized for investigating the influence of gender and age on the platelet counts obtained, to investigate the effect of protocol on VEGF concentration and to compare mean VEGF concentrations at the three distinct points. Significance was set at 95% (p≤0.05).

Statistical analysis was conducted with specific software and consisted of paired T-test analysis of initial and final counts for each of the protocols. Significance was set at 95% (p≤0.05).

Statistical evaluation of the automated method in relation to the manual method was conducted through dispersion and regression graphs. R values above 0.80 were considered to show a significant
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Fig.1. Diagrammatic view of the two protocols for PRP preparation with sample resting points highlighted, and supernatant aliquoting and aspiration indicated. The samples got separated into three layers by the first centrifugation. The first layer, comprising platelet poor plasma (represented as a yellow rectangle) got aliquoted for VEGF measurements. The second layer, including the buffy coat and platelet rich plasma (PRP, depicted as a green rectangle) was transferred to clean glass tubes and submitted to a second centrifugation. The third and final layer (red blood cells) was discarded. After the second centrifugation the process was repeated. The major difference between the protocols was the time point where samples were rested at room temperature for two hours. In Protocol I, shown at the top of the diagram, the sample was only rested as the very last step before platelet counting in PRP, while in the second protocol, shown at the bottom of the diagram, the sample was rested before the first centrifugation and that yielded better results.
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correlation between the two methods. The association between the two methods was confirmed by plotting Bland Altman dispersion graphs.

Paired T-tests comparing the means of platelet counts from manual and automated processing methods (i.e. initial, intermediate and final platelet counts) was also conducted. Significance was set at 95% (p≤0.05).

**RESULTS**

Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and test statistic results are followed by the 95% confidence interval, when pertinent.

There were no significant differences for any of the platelet counts, regardless of protocol or method, regarding animal gender or age.

Average initial platelet count by the manual method was 244.2x10^3/μL ± 21.3x10^3/μL and 256.5x10^3/μL ± 37.8x10^3/μL by the automated one. Final platelet counts for Protocol I with manual and automated methods were 463.0x10^3/μL ± 71.0x10^3/μL and 513. 2x10^3/μL ± 69.6x10^3/μL, respectively, while counts for Protocol II with manual and automated methods were 761.8x10^3/μL ± 41.9x10^3/μL and 867x10^3/μL ± 219.3x10^3/μL respectively. Protocol I offered a 202.3% increase in platelet count, while Protocol II offered a 307.5% increase.

Final platelet counts were significantly higher than the initial counts for Protocols I and II, when the manual count was considered (p<0.01; 166.9 to 343.1 and p<0.01; 478.9 to 555.4 respectively).

Similarly, final platelet counts were significantly higher for Protocols I and II, when the automated count was considered (p<0.01; 203.0 to 346.5 and p<0.01; 387.2 to 765.6 respectively).

Protocol II offered a significantly higher final platelet count than Protocol I (manual count p<0.01; 179.0 to 345.3; automated count p=0.02; 73.8 to 525.3).

No significant differences in platelet counts were found between automated and manual methods for either protocol: p-values were 0.12 (initial manual compared to initial automated), 0.10 (final manual Protocol I versus final manual Protocol III), and 0.34 (final automated Protocol I versus final automated Protocol II).

Analysis of the association between the two methods (manual and automated) was conducted utilizing dispersion graphs according to Altman & Bland (1983), as can be seen in Figure 2, which corroborates the good correlation between the two methods.

Visual inspection of platelets in blood smears did not show platelet activation as evidenced by the absence of pseudopods. As far as VEGF concentration, mean values and SD can be seen in Table 3. Mean VEGF concentration for Protocol I was 0.82ng/mL ± 0.04ng/mL; 0.79 to 0.85 and 0.84ng/mL ± 0.04ng/mL; 0.80 to 0.87. Pooled SD was 0.04.

There was no significant difference in VEGF concentrations among the three points for Protocol I (p=0.57) nor Protocol II (p=0.06), and there was no difference in VEGF concentrations between Protocols I and II at any time (p=0.42; f=0.69).

Packed cell volume obtained from centrifugation and test statistic results are followed by the 95% confidence interval. For Protocol I, the differences in final erythrocyte count (p=494; 0.56 to 0.28) and final leukocyte count (p=0.084; 3.02 to 40.02) between Protocol I and II were not significantly different. Both protocols offered an increase in leukocytes (345.3% for Protocol I and 525.6% for Protocol II) and a decrease in erythrocyte numbers (8.1% of initial erythrocytes left in Protocol I and 10.2% left in Protocol II).

**DISCUSSION**

Both protocols used in this study allowed concentration of platelets but only Protocol II (3.18x increase in platelet concentration) allowed for true production of PRP since final concentrations should be at least three times the initial ones (Marx 2004). Final platelet count with Protocol II was 761.8x10^3/μL ± 41.9x10^3/μL, which is higher than previous values reported in the literature by others (Carmona Ramírez & Prades 2006, Vendruscolo et al. 2012). Similar research describing differences between protocols for PRP preparation present results in terms of fold-concentration obtained. In the case of this study a 3.18-fold increase was observed with Protocol II, while others report a 4-fold increase with their own protocols (DeRossi et al. 2009, Da Fontoura Pereira et al. 2013).

In this study, both protocols utilized two centrifugation cycles with increasing centrifugal forces, according to what has been described in the literature (DeRossi et al. 2009, Vendruscolo et al. 2012), but differing in relation to the moment of sample rest. It appears that allowing the sample to rest for two hours at room temperature at the start of the protocol, before centrifugation, is beneficial to obtaining a higher platelet count in the PRP harvested from the blood of Quarter Horses. The results corroborate the hypothesis that the protocol used influences the PRP product. That,
in return, might influence outcomes of possible therapeutic uses for the product.

One of the key aspects of the clinical outcome of PRP application is likely to rest in the concentration of active substances, rather than simply in the platelet counts. This work is part of a larger study which includes evaluation of active substances in the PRP and a review of its clinical application in a controlled trial.

In both protocols, a small amount of red blood cells was present in the PRP, with a smaller amount in Protocol I (0.51/µL ± 0.79) in relation to Protocol II (0.65/µL ± 0.44) with a similar outcome for leukocyte counts. It is unknown whether a higher concentration of erythrocytes and leukocytes would affect the use and outcomes of therapeutic PRP (Marx 2004, Carmona Ramírez & Prades 2006, Vendrärmin et al. 2006), but previous investigations suggested that a small concentration of leukocytes would be desirable in order to maximize benefits arising from the increased platelet and GF concentrations in PRP (Pereira et al. 2013).

Both manual and automated methods provided similar counts for the parameters measured, in contrast with information provided previously stating that automated methods underestimate platelet values due to formation of aggregates (Tasker et al. 2001). This is likely because in both instances, manual and automated counts will tally clumped platelets as a single unit. In the present study, manual platelet count was conducted by a trained professional, reducing the possibility of operator error, as suggested by the literature (Olsen et al. 2004).

Therapeutic use of PRP in equine medicine, especially in dermatology, orthopaedics and inflammatory respiratory disorders has increased over the last few decades. Before studies investigating the effects of PRP use are properly conducted, an excellent working knowledge of the protocols for PRP preparation is required, including the use of a consistent method for aspiration and separation of PRP. This will ensure that the PRP is at optimal concentration and can provide the best possible outcome as far as platelet and GFs are concerned. Therapeutic effects can only be properly evaluated once the PRP protocols and techniques are standardized.

One issue that may arise during PRP preparation is the premature release of granules due to platelet activation. In the current study, PRP obtained was immediately used in a therapeutic protocol as part of ongoing research. VEGF concentration results indicate that platelet degranulation was avoided as evidenced by the lack of concentration differences among the points after each centrifugation and the PRP. Lack of platelet degranulation is reinforced by the observation of similar VEGF concentrations in Protocols I and II in the face of a significant larger platelet yield in Protocol II. It is important to emphasize that platelet activation and degranulation leading to release of α-granule content might be desirable prior to therapeutic application in patients with inflammatory conditions, as is the case with PRP antimicrobial properties (Drago et al. 2013). It is also documented that PRP can be used with or without platelet activation (Amable et al. 2013).

The current research provides evidence of variation in the PRP final product depending on the protocol used for its preparation. The effects of the presence of erythrocytes and leukocytes in the PRP final product is yet to be clarified, and from this perspective, Protocol II in this research provided more blood cell contamination, although this difference was not statistically significant.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study indicate that the protocol for PRP preparation which includes resting the sample at room temperature for two hours, followed by two consecutive centrifugations at centrifugal forces of 120g and 240g for 10 minutes each, should be used.

The variation in VEGF was not significant, indicating preservation of α-granules and lack of platelet degranulation during PRP preparation.
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