Inefficacy of albendazole sulphoxide and ivermectin for the treatment of bovine parasitic otitis caused by rhabditiform nematodes
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The purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of orally administered albendazole sulphoxide and pour-on ivermectin for the treatment of bovine parasitic otitis caused by rhabditiform nematodes. Eighteen Gyr cows presenting clinical otitis were divided in three groups with six animals each. The first one did not receive any treatment (control group). The second one was treated with 0.5% pour-on ivermectin, 500 μg/kg of body weight, and the third group was treated with oral 6% albendazole sulphoxide, at 6.0mg/kg. Both ear canals of each animal were reexamined on days 7 and 21 post treatment. The animals in the control group remained infected throughout the days of observation. Ivermectin treatment did not show effectiveness on days 7 or 21 post treatment. The albendazole sulphoxide treatment had an efficacy of 16.7 and 25% on days 7 and 21, respectively. Further studies are required to assess an effective treatment for this parasitic disease, especially via alternative administration routes, because of its significant impact on Bos taurus indicus cattle breeding in Tropical and Subtropical Regions.

Bovine parasitic otitis caused by rhabditiform nematodes has been reported in African countries with a warm and moist environment, such as Tanzania, Kenya and Zimbabwe, generating significant economic losses (Round 1962, Msolla et al. 1986, Ushewokunze-Obatolú et al. 1999). In Brazil, it was first described by Martins-Junior et al. (1971), and ever since this disease has been reported in other States, such as Minas Gerais and Goiás (Leite et al. 1993, Vieira et al. 2001, Duarte et al. 2001) and, most recently in the States of Pernambuco (Brito et al. 2005) and Rio de Janeiro (Verocai et al. 2007). The breeds which are more prone to this disease are, *Bos taurus indicus*, Gyr and Indubrasil (a cross-breed of Gyr, Nellore and Guzerat). This is thought to happen because of the anatomical conformation of its external ear and ear canals, offering favorable growth conditions to the nematode because of the presence of organic secretions, heat and humidity. Severely affected animals are usually apathetic and without appetite, showing constant head movement. Clinical signs also include purulent and fetid otorrhea (which predisposes to myiasis) in addition to nervous symptoms such as facial hemiplegia, which profoundly affects food apprehension and mastication, thus drastically reducing weight gain (Msolla et al. 1993, Verocai et al. 2007). While in Africa the parasite was identified in most of the cases as *Rhabditis bovis*, in Brazil four different species were described parasitizing the ear canal of cattle, including *R. freitasi* e *R. costai* (Martins-Junior 1985). In Africa, there are reports of some effective treatments (Msolla et al. 1985, Msolla et al. 1987). The purpose of this study is to evaluate the efficacy of orally administered albendazole sulphoxide and pour-on ivermectin for the treatment of bovine parasitic otitis caused by rhabditiform nematodes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

During a survey on bovine parasitic otitis on a farm located in Massamburá District (22° 21’S, 43° 34’W), Municipality of Vassouras, Rio de Janeiro State, Southeastern Brazil (Verocai et al. 2007), cows were diagnosed as positive for rhabditiform nematodes by the technique described by Leite et al. (1994). It consists in swabbing the ear canal and then depositing the sample in test tubes, individually. The nematodes can be observed on the tube’s wall or bottom with the naked eye. Eighteen Gyr cows, belonging to the above mentioned farm with clinical otitis and predispone to myiasis infection, were included in three groups of six animals each. One group did not receive any treatment and was considered the control group. A second group was treated with ivermectin 0.5% pour-on (Puritec®, Vetbrands Brasil Ltda, Santa Terezinha 303, Paulínia, SP), corresponding to a dose of 500μg/kg, and a third group was orally treated with albendazole sulphoxide 6% (Ricobendazole Oral®, Ouro Fino Agronegócio, Rodovia Anhanguera, SP 330, Km 298, Distrito Industrial, Cravinhos, SP). Those drugs and administration routes were chosen because of they are widely used for control of gastrointestinal parasites in cattle and considered more practical and less harmful, respectively. Both ear canals of each animal were re-examined on days 7 and 21 post treatment, looking for the presence of living nematodes by the same technique used for the original diagnosis. The materials from the test tubes were also fixed in 70% alcohol solution, for further analysis under stereo-microscopy. The data were analyzed by the Fisher’s Exact Test, performed using the Biostat 2.0 program (Ayres et al. 2005).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The animals from the control group remained infected through the days of observation. The ivermectin group did not show any efficacy on days 7 and 21 after treatment. The albendazole sulphoxide treatment showed an efficacy of 16.7% and 25% on days 7 and 21, respectively (Table 1). The visits were suspended after day 21 due to the unsatisfactory results. No statistical differences were observed between the treated and the other control groups (p > 0.05). In the literature some treatments (mostly from Africa) show desirable efficacy, even though the occurrence of re-infections is extremely frequent. It is also important to highlight that besides the need for an effective control, treatment should be performed as soon as possible in order to avoid the appearance of nervous symptoms. In Africa, Msolla et al. (1985) obtained an efficacy of more than 95% using subcutaneous ivermectin, combined with topical application of 2 to 3 drops of ivermectin 1% into each infected ear. Msolla et al. (1987) also employed a 0.25% toxaphene hand spray, dip washes of 0.25% toxaphene with 2ppm nicotine extract twice a week for a period of 12 weeks, consecutively, with 95.3% efficacy, but this procedure was laborious. Odongo & D’Souza (1989) suggest as an effective treatment topical administration of 10% triclorfon -10% oxytetracycline solutions. In Brazil, some studies were performed regarding this type of parasitism. Leite et al. (1994) recommended flushing the ear canals with a 1:1 ether-ethanol (92-96 GL) solution containing 2% copper sulphate as treatment for clinical and subclinical bovine parasitic otitis. Although, this study did not include any efficacy data, the authors pointed out that efficacy was almost 100%. Vieira et al. (2001) compared the treatment described previously with a second one, consisting of a single application of 3%
triclorfon and 1% dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) solution, using a nitrofurazone cream vehicle. Both protocols did not achieve the desirable effect when used in the ear canal under field conditions.

Topical treatments are more likely to be effective. However, the use of some anthelmintic drugs is strongly contraindicated into ear canals because it could lead to critical consequences by crossing the blood-brain barrier. Consequently, another route of administration (e.g. pour-on, oral or injectable) should be considered safer and more practical when used with in outbreaks in large herds. Studies related to alternative administration routes are necessary to assess the effective treatment for this parasitism because of its significant impact on cattle breeding in Tropical and Subtropical Regions.
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