Foot rot and other foot diseases of goat and sheep in the semiarid region of northeastern Brazil
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This paper reports the occurrence and epidemiology of outbreaks of foot rot and other foot diseases in goats and sheep in the semiarid region of Paraíba, northeastern Brazil. Four farms were inspected for the presence of foot lesion in sheep and goats and for environmental conditions, general hygiene, pastures, and disease control measures. The prevalence of foot lesions was 19.41% (170/876) in sheep and 17.99% (52/289) in goats, ranging between 5.77% and 33.85% in different farms. Foot rot was the most common disease, affecting 12.1% of the animals examined (141/1165), but with significantly higher (p<0.05) prevalence in sheep (13.69%) than in goats (7.27%). The frequency of malignant foot rot was also significantly lower (p<0.05) in goats (9.53%) than in the sheep (40.83%). On one farm, Dorper sheep showed significantly higher (p<0.05) prevalence of foot rot (17.5%) than Santa Inês sheep (6.79%), and the number of digits affected was also higher in the former.

Dichelobacter nodosus and Fusobacterium necrophorum were isolated from cases of foot rot. White line disease was found in 3.95% of the animals, sole ulcers in 1.29%, foot abscess in 1.03% and hoof overgrowth in 0.5%. The high rainfall at the time of occurrence, grazing in wetlands, clay soils with poor drainage, presence of numerous stony grounds, closure of the flocks in pens at night, and introduction of affected animals were considered predisposing factors for the occurrence of foot diseases.
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Foot diseases are major causes of lameness in small ruminants and responsible for great economic losses, due to reduced forage intake, less body weight gains and milk production, decreased reproduction rates, and premature culling of animals (Tadich & Hernández 2000, Pugh 2004).

Foot rot is one of the most frequent foot diseases in goat and sheep (Kaler & Green 2008). The primary agent of the disease is Dichelobacter nodosus; however, for the occurrence of infection should exist a synergistic action with Fusobacterium necrophorum. The latter bacterium is a natural inhabitant of soil and feces. It causes an interdigital dermatitis that allows invasion by D. nodosus, which is an obligate bacterium of rumen digit and cannot survive longer than seven days in the environment (Green & George 2008). Feet exposure for extended periods at ambient and wet pasture, feces and urine predisposes to infection and disease transmission between animals (Egerton 2002).

There are variations in the severity of foot rot, depending on the virulence factors of the D. nodosus strain (Abbott & Egerton 2003). Benign strains of D. nodosus cause inflammation of the interdigital skin without separation of the corneal tissue; so it is impossible to differentiate clinically from interdigital dermatitis caused solely by F. necrophorum. Benign foot rot regress spontaneously in most affected animals when the environment becomes dry (Green & George 2008). Malignant foot rot is characterized clinically by separation of corneal tissue and deeper parts of the hoof (underrunning). In this latter case virulent strains produce proteases allowing the degradation of corneal tissue of the digit (Billington et al. 1996). Nevertheless, other factors including animal resistance and environmental conditions are also important in the occurrence of benign or malignant foot rot (Abbott & Egerton 2003).

Most studies of foot rot involve sheep, but goat can also be infected and there is transmission between sheep and goats (Ghimire et al. 1999). The lesions in goats are less severe than sheep, but may result in significant lameness (Pugh 2004).

Other diseases can cause lameness in small ruminants. The impaction of the interdigital space with feces, mud, and grass may cause a loss of skin integrity allowing invasion by F. necrophorum causing interdigital dermatitis (Winter 2008). Deeper lesions may involve a purulent infection with a foot abscess at the distal interphalangeal joint due to Archanobacterium pyogenes or other piogenic bacteria causing foot abscess (Riet-Correa 2007). A mild to severe lameness can be caused by white line disease, which is characterized by the separation of the corneal tissue in the abaxial solar surface region of the hoof as a result of dirt accumulation (Riet-Correa 1987).

In Brazil, outbreaks of foot rot are frequent in ewed sheep in the Southern region (Ribeiro 2007). However, there are few reports in hair sheep (Cavalcanti et al. 2004, Rodrigues et al. 2001) and goats. There are few reports of foot diseases of sheep and goats in the semiarid Northeastern region, but according to Pinheiro et al. (2000) foot rot occurs in about 67% of farms in the state Ceará. Although known to most farmers there is a great lack of information on important aspects of foot diseases in northeastern Brazil, including differential diagnosis, environmental changes associated with outbreaks, resistance or susceptibility of sheep and goat breeds raised in the region, and effective measures of control and prophylaxis. This study aimed to know the epidemiology and clinical aspects of outbreaks of foot rot and other foot diseases in goats and sheep in the semiarid of the state of Paraiba. With the knowledge obtained it will be possible to determine control and prophylactic measure.

**INTRODUCTION**

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted on four farms, located in the municipalities of Quixaba, São José dos Espinharas, Patos, and Paulista, in the semiarid region of Paraiba, from March to September 2009. On all farms management was semi-intensive, with animals of different ages and different productive purposes (meat, milk and reproduction). The farms were inspected for the presence of predisposing factors for foot diseases, and to evaluate aspects related to hygiene, pasture, and the treatment and control measures used.

Data regarding the rainfall during January to December 2009 in region were obtained according to information from the Executive Agency for Water Management in Paraiba (AESA 2009), while normal rainfall, from 1961 to 1990, were extracted from Brasil (1992).

At all 1165 animals were observed on the four properties. The hooves were cleaned and trimmed for better observation and recording of lesions. Direct smears were performed with samples of the interdigital skin of some animals and stained by the Gram stain. From the same lesions were collected swabs, which were placed, immediately after collection, into tubes containing 10mL of thioglycollate broth enriched with 0.5% ground sheep hoof. The tubes were sealed with 1-2mL sterile mineral oil and sent refrigerated to the Microbiology Laboratory. They were incubated in a microbical incubator at 37°C for 24-48 hours. In the tubes of samples from Farm 1 and 2 bacteria were identified from the microbial growth observed in the tubes, through Gram stain. The broth culture from samples collected from Farm 2 and 3 were inoculated on plates containing modified agar hoof medium modified (Osln et al. 1998), which consisted of 5% agar1, 0.5% beef extract1, 1% Peptone1, 0.1% yeast extract1, 0.5% sodium chloride1 and 0.5% ground sheep hoof. Then the plates were incubated anaerobically at 35±2°C in anaerobic jars with an anaerobic system® for five days. Dichelobacter nodosus and Fusobacterium necrophorum were identified by colony morphology (Thorley 1976) and morphotinctorial characteristics of the bacteria (Carter & Chengappa 1991).

---
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Prevalence data from different species and breeds on the same farms were analyzed statistically using the chi square test. On Farm 1 date from goats of the Saanen and Alpine breeds were not taken into account, because they have been recently introduced into the farm.

RESULTS

Of the 1165 animals examined in the four flock, 222 (19.05%) had foot lesions. Mean prevalence was 17.13%, 33.85%, 5.77% and 23.68%, on Farm 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively (Table 1). In the sheep the prevalence of foot lesions was 19.41% (170/876) and in goats was 17.99% (52/289).

The animals showed different foot diseases, including foot rot (Figs.1A-B), foot abscess (Fig.2A), white line disease (Fig.2B) and overgrowth of the hoof. The frequency of each condition is found in Table 2. The foot rot was the most common disease, affecting 12.1% of the animals examined (141/1165). The prevalence in sheep (13.69%) was significantly higher (p<0.05) than in goats (7.27%). The frequency of malignant foot rot in goats (9.53% of all lesions) was also significantly lower (p<0.05) than in sheep (40.83%). On Farm 1, the prevalence of foot rot in Dorper sheep (17.5%) was significantly higher than in Santa Inês sheep (6.78%), and Dorper sheep had more feet affected than Santa Inês. Some of the animals with malignant foot rot also had proliferative granulomatous lesions of the interdigital skin and/or sole (Fig.3A); others had ulceration of the sole, which had become separated from horn (Fig.3B). Granulomatous lesions or sole ulcers, not associated with foot rot, were rare, except on Farm 1 where 15 (1.29%) animals, 11 goats and four sheep without infectious foot rot, had sole ulcers (Table 2). Another disease found was foot abscess, which was observed with or without interdigital dermatitis caused by foot rot. The prevalence of foot abscess was 3.8% in goats and 1.41% in sheep. The disease affected the hind limbs in 66.67% of the goat cases and in 60% of the sheep cases.

In the four farms the sheep and goats grazed on natural pasture and stayed overnight in pens, except for the Fat Tailed Sheep on Farm 2, that were raised under extensive management practices. Farm 1 had a paddock of irrigated Cynodon dactylon (Tifton). On the four farms stony ground and wet areas in the borders of creeks or dams were found. The average area available for grazing animals varied: 500 ha on Farm 1, 50 ha on Farm 2, 25 ha on Farm 3, and 120 ha on Farm 4.

On Farm 1, numerous stones, moisture and excessive amounts of feces were found in the corrals. On Farm 2, the corral had low incidence of sunlight and poor hygienic conditions.
conditions with excessive amount of feces. On Farm 3, the outbreak was associated with the introduction of animals with foot problems. On Farm 1, 2 and 4 the farmers reported foot disease outbreaks in previous years.

During previous outbreaks of foot diseases on the farms, inappropriate treatments were performed including use of formalin or copper sulphate mixed with formalin at inappropriate concentrations and frequency, antibiotic under-dosing, or the use of repellents as the only form of treatment. Preventive measures such as periodic foot trimming and preventive foot-bathing had never been adopted on any Farm. On Farm 2 foot trimming in sheep was conducted at the moment of occurrence of outbreaks, but without adequate treatment.

The frequency of rainfall in 2009 was higher when compared to normal rainfall in the region (Fig. 4).

Table 2. Foot lesions observed in four farms in goats and sheep in the semiarid Paraíba from March to September 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of lesions</th>
<th>Goats</th>
<th>Sheep</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>F4</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot rot</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID* + abscess</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID* + underrunning</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe granuloma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot abscess</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White line disease</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcers</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive growth of the hoof</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defect on limb conformation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with foot lesions</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with healthy feet</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F2</th>
<th>F3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foot rot</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID* + abscess</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID* + underrunning</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toe granuloma</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot abscess</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White line disease</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcers</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive growth of the hoof</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defect on limb conformation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with foot lesions</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with healthy feet</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F = Farm; ID = Interdigital lesion.

Gram negative rods with terminal enlargements, characteristic of *Dichelobacter nodosus*, were observed in examination of direct smears of the lesions and in smears of the microbial growth in broth cultures. Also Gram-negative rods of variable length with beaded filaments, characteristic of *Fusobacterium necrophorum*, were observed. In the anaerobic cultures in hoof medium grayish-white, opaque, colonies with irregular edges and elevated center were observed. The smears of the colonies revealed Gram-negative bacteria with the characteristics of *D. nodosus*.

**DISCUSSION**

The high prevalence of foot rot on the four farms studied indicates the importance of the disease in the semiarid region of Brazil, when there are favorable conditions to the transmission of the disease. The favorable environmental conditions of the pastures during the rainy period, associated with the presence of excess moistures and feces in the corrals where the animals stay at night, are predisposing factors for foot rot transmission. Such conditions are also favorable for the occurrence of foot rot and white line disease. The moisture causes devitalization of the interdigital space allowing infection by *Fusobacterium necrophorum* and *Dichelobacter nodosus* (Graham & Egerton 1968). Additionally, the feet are more susceptible to injury when the animals walk in areas with stones and scrub vegetation, which cause traumatic injuries, including sole ulcers, granuloma and white line disease.

In the semiarid region of Northeastern Brazil, conditions favoring foot rot transmission are observed during a short period of the year. The region is characterized by a warm climate with a mean temperature of 26°C and 500-800mm annual precipitation. The rains are irregular and in some years rainfall are insignificant or low. The rainy season is short, from January-February to April-May. The relative humidity is low, ranging from 60-75%, and vegetation, named caatinga, is an exclusive Brazilian biome (AESA 2009). Another factor that may favor the occurrence of outbreaks of foot rot in 2009 was the higher rainfall (127.4 mm³) during this year in comparison with the mean rainfall (83.6mm) for the region (Fig. 4).

The absence of correct control measures observed in the four farms is also an important factor for the transmission of the disease during the rainy season. The highest
prevalence was found in Farm 2, in which after start of the outbreak the farmer performed hoof trimming without treatment. Hoof trimming performed without treatment facilitates the transmission of the disease (Wassink et al. 2003). The wrong treatment applied by farmers and the lack of preventive measures may have contributed to the occurrence of new cases. Also because of the spontaneous regression of cases of foot rot during the dry season, farmers lose interest in control measures and the disease becomes cyclic, recurring every rainy season.

Soil conditions should also be considered in outbreaks of foot rot. The soils of the area where the outbreaks occurred are eutrophic red abruptic argisols with medium textured and high clay content (Cavalcante et al. 2005). Clay soils allow water retention in the land and facilitate the occurrence of foot rot when compared to sandy soils with low clay content, which are capable of providing dry feet within two hours immediately after episodes of rainfall (Depiazzi et al. 1998).

The occurrence of severe forms of foot rot with underrunning confirm that there are virulent strains of \textit{D. nodosus} in the Brazilian semiarid region; however, in goats and sheep there was a higher frequency of interdigital dermatitis without underrunning. The occurrence of benign or virulent forms depend on three factors: virulence of the agent; environmental conditions favorable for transmission; and host resistance (Graham & Egerton 1968). The lower frequency of foot rot in goats than in sheep, as well as the smallest number of animals with virulent forms, represents the higher resistance of goats compared to sheep. According to Ghimire et al. (1999), sheep tend to have faster progression and more severe lesions caused by \textit{D. nodosus} than goats, probably due to increased thickness of stratum corneum of the interdigital skin in relation to sheep.

There is no information on differences in susceptibility/resistance between different breeds of hair sheep or when compared hair sheep with wolled breeds raised in areas with higher rainfall, as in southern Brazil, where foot rot is a disease much more frequent than in the semiarid region. In this study, the significantly higher prevalence observed in the Dorper sheep in relation to Santa Inês, on Farm 1, suggests a higher susceptibility of the former. On the other hand, Dorper sheep seems to be not highly susceptible, because in Farm 3 the animals of this breed showed only interdigital dermatitis, without underrunning. One feature observed in breeds with foot rot resistance is the largest number of animals with benign lesions (Emery et al. 1984). However, the absence of underrunning could also be due to the absence of virulent strains or better environmental conditions due to the periodic cleaning of the pens, a practice not held in the other farms.

The environmental conditions favorable to the development of infectious foot rot, were also important for the occurrence of foot abscess. Most cases of foot abscess were probably secondary to lesions caused by \textit{F. necrophorum} and \textit{D. nodosus} in the interdigital skin (Riet-Correa 1987). However, stony ground can produce traumatism in the coro-nary band of the feet followed by bacterial infection and foot abscess (Riet-Correa 2007).

To reduce the economic impact of foot rot in the semiarid region it is necessary the application of adequate control measures during outbreaks, including hoof trimming, separation of affected from non affected animals, correct footbaths and use of clean paddocks after treatment, and culling of chronic cases. For the prevention or eradication of the disease these measures should be applied during dry season when clinical cases decrease significantly, also imported animals should be inspected to avoid the introduction of the disease.
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