Ethological activities, ruminal parameters and weight gain of calves supplemented with different amounts of sodium chloride

Pedro Malafaia*2, Rosane Scatamburlo Lizieire3, Paula Barroso Cruz4 and Paulo Vargas Peixoto2

ABSTRACT.- Malafaia P., Lizieire R.S., Cruz P.B. & Peixoto P.V. 2012. Ethological activities, ruminal parameters and weight gain of calves supplemented with different amounts of sodium chloride. Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira 32(8)803-806. Departamento de Nutrição Animal e Pastagem, Instituto de Zootecnia, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Seropédica, RJ 23890-00, Brazil. E-mail: malafaia.ufrrj@yahoo.com.br

The daily weight gain, behavioral activities (grazing, ruminating and water consumption) and the number of rumen protozoa, pH, NH3, and the osmolarity of rumen fluid was evaluated for four groups of six calves HPB/Zebu submitted to daily intake of 15, 30, 60 and 90g of NaCl during 135 days (9th Jan. to 24th May 2008). Throughout the experimental period the calves were in perfect health condition and did not show any signs suggestive of chronic sodium poisoning. There was no significant difference in average daily gain of the calves as the intake of NaCl increased. No significant behavioral changes were observed in the activities of grazing and ruminating. However, there was a noticeable change in the frequency of water consumption in the calves that ingested 90g/d of NaCl; they went more often to the trough and drank more water than the group that ingested only 15g sodium chloride/d. The osmolarity of ruminal liquid was higher in the group of calves fed 90g of NaCl/d. The pH, NH3 concentration and number of rumen protozoa was within the normal range.
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INTRODUCTION

The proteic-energetic supplementation (PES), popularly known as “proteinados”, is a nutritional strategy widely used for cattle production in Brazil and has the main objective to ensure maintenance or moderate weight gain in cattle during the dry season (Bisschoff et al. 1967, Pau-
lino 1999, Malafaia et al. 2003). In 1961 arose the idea to control the daily intake of proteic-energetic supplements for cattle through the use of high levels of NaCl in the mixtures. The proposal, first developed in Brazil, was to avoid the daily work and reduce costs required for transport and distribution of these supplements in the feeders (Bisschoff et al. 1967).

In general, the proteic-energetic supplements have 20-35% of sodium chloride (NaCl) in their composition and are ingested by the cattle in the range of 200-300g/animal/day during the 4 or 5 months of the dry season (Malafaia et al. 2003). When these quantities of commercial PES are consumed it is possible to estimate an intake of NaCl in the range of 40-105g/animal/day (Malafaia et al. 2004, 2005).

While the manual of NRC (2001) estimated a daily intake of 10-20g of NaCl for cattle in the growth phase, Underwood & Suttle (1997) and Tokarnia et al. (2010) suggest that adult cattle consume on average 30g NaCl per day. Therefore, the intake of higher quantities for prolonged periods, such as those found with use of proteic-energetic supplements, needs to be investigated from the standpoint of poisoning, or possible change in behavioral and physiological variables in the rumen.

In ruminants, sodium poisoning can be acute or chronic (Tokarnia et al. 2010), the latter occurs with excessive sodium intake for long periods and in situations of water restriction (NRC 2001, Tokarnia et al. 2010).

Thus, this study aimed to evaluate the clinical aspects, daily weight gain, ethological activities, daily water intake, rumen protozoa counts, and to measure pH and osmolality of the rumen fluid of crossbred HPB/Zebu calves supplemented with increased quantities of NaCl in their daily feeding.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Experimental Station of Pesagro-Rio, Seropédica/RJ, Brazil, for 135 days, from 9\(^{th}\) January to 24\(^{th}\) May 2008. We used four groups of six calves HPB/Zebu, with initial weight of 148-152 kg, properly vaccinated, identified and dewormed. All procedures were approved by the Committee of Ethics for the use of animals in research of UFRJR.

Throughout the experimental period, all calves stayed together in the same area of Tanzania grass (Panicum maximum Jacq.) pasture managed in a rotational stocking system with 7 days of paddock occupation and 28 days of rest. Only at the time of feeding the calves were conducted to a restricted area and separated according to the experimental treatment proposed as follows:

- Group 1: 15g of NaCl/d;
- Group 2: 30g of NaCl/d;
- Group 3: 60g of NaCl/d;
- Group 4: 90g of NaCl/d.

To ensure that the calves consumed the respective amounts of sodium chloride, they received their daily quantities of NaCl mixed previously with 600g of concentrate that contained 670g/kg of ground corn and 330g/kg of soybean meal. The concentrate was provided in three portions (at 8, 13 and 17 hours) of 200g per animal. This fractionation ensured that the amount of salt was completely ingested by the calves, and also simulated the habit of consumption of proteic-energetic supplements for cattle that frequently lick the product 3 to 6 times per day (Malafaia et al. 2003).

On February 17, March 15 and April 18, the calves of Group 1 (15g NaCl/d) and Group 4 (90g NaCl/d) were placed into a pasture of Brachiaria decumbens with about 0.8 ha divided by an electric fence. During these three days, the animals were monitored every 15 minutes for 24 consecutive hours, by different observers in the morning, the afternoon and evening. The ethological variables studied were the activities of grazing, ruminating and drinking water. Data were recorded on a spreadsheet and interpreted in graphical form, as the percentage of animals performing an activity at any given time. The average daily water consumption was measured by a meter placed in the pipeline that supplied the drinking fountain for each paddock.

On March 21 and May 15, three calves of Group 1, and three of Group 4 were arrested for 8, 15 and 18 hours to be submitted to the collection of rumen fluid with an esophageal tube attached to a vacuum pump. Immediately after collection the rumen fluid was filtered through a triple layer of cheesecloth and subjected to reading of the pH and osmolality by means of a portable potentiometer. An aliquot of 10 mL was frozen at -20°C for future analysis of NH3. After thawing the sample, the concentration of NH3 in the ruminal fluid was determined by micro-Kjeldahl system without acid digestion of the sample (2 mL of ruminal fluid + 13 mL distilled water) and using KOH (2N) in the distillation. After these procedures, a 5mL sample was placed into a tube containing 5mL of 30% formaldehyde. This sample was intended for the counting of rumen protozoa, which was performed with a Neubauer chamber.

The calves were weighed at the beginning of the experiment for formation of the groups and then at intervals of 28 days, always after solid and liquid fasting of 15 hours. The experiment was performed in a completely randomized design, and the values of the average daily weight gains were subjected to analysis of variance using the model Yij = m + Ti + eij, where Yij is equal to the value observed in the jth experimental unit, which received the ith treatment; m means the overall average; Ti equals the treatment effect, and eij means the experimental error; assumed as normal and independently distributed with zero mean and variance σ2. The Tukey test (α=5%) was chosen to discriminate the existence of differences between treatment means.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Throughout the experimental period the calves were in perfect health condition, always gained weight (Table 1), grazed greedily and ate normally the concentrate containing different amounts of NaCl. No calf showed clinical symptoms suggestive of chronic poisoning by sodium (diarrhea, lethargy, weakness, incoordination and anorexia).

Meyer et al. (1955) reported that the concentration of 9.33% NaCl (about 3.7% Na) in dry matter (DM) intake did not cause deleterious effects, during 84 days, in weight gain.

#### Table 1. Daily intake of NaCl (iNaCl), average of initial weight (AIW), final weight (AFW) and of daily weight gain (ADG) and standard error (SE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental group</th>
<th>iNaCl (g/day)</th>
<th>AIW (kg)</th>
<th>AFW (kg)</th>
<th>ADWG (kg)</th>
<th>SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>152.3</td>
<td>2055</td>
<td>0.506(^{+})</td>
<td>0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>150.2</td>
<td>200.3</td>
<td>0.479(^{+})</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>151.2</td>
<td>202.8</td>
<td>0.492(^{+})</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>148.7</td>
<td>198.2</td>
<td>0.471(^{+})</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{+}\)Means, and in the same column followed by same letter do not differ at 5% by Tukey test.
and nutrient utilization by cattle in the fattening stage. Moreover, the manual of NRC 2001, quoting a version of 1980, recommends a maximum of 4% NaCl (1.6% Na) in the DM consumed daily by lactating cows. Therefore, there is no reliable information on the maximum levels of NaCl to be tolerated by cattle during growth phase.

If the calves of the group which consumed as much sodium chloride (90g/d, with average weight of 173 kg; Table 1), ingested 2.2% of DM/day in relation to their live weight, they would be able to consume 3800 grams of DM per day, which would be about 2.4% NaCl in relation to their daily intake of DM.

The analysis of Table 1 shows that there was no significant difference in average daily weight gain of calves as the intake of NaCl increased. Although the consumption of 60 and 90g/d is considered high, even for adult cattle, these amounts have not reduced the daily weight gain during the experimental period.

In Figure 1 is possible to note that no significant behavioral changes were observed in the activities of grazing and rumination. However, as previously described by Carvalho Filho et al. 2003, there were noticeable changes in the activity of drinking water (Fig.1) and the animals that received 90g/d of NaCl increased their frequency to visit the trough and drank more water than the group that received only 15g/d of NaCl (Table 2). There was little variation between day and night in the daily water consumption (Table 2).

The Figure 2 shows that the rumen pH remained within the normal range (6.6 to 7.0) irrespectively of intake of the different amounts of NaCl. The pH in this range is explained by the small amount of concentrate ingested daily (600g/d). Although within the normal range (240-360mMol/L (Owens & Goetsch 1989), the osmolarity of the rumen fluid was higher in the group of calves that consumed 90g/d of NaCl (Fig.2). The values found for the number of rumen protozoa were also within normal range ($10^5$-$10^6$).

The amounts of NaCl intake were not able to cause a dramatic change in the rumen variables studied. A possible lack of positive response in this study, when compared with the Carvalho Filho et al. (2003), is based on the fact that in that study cattle had free access to the supplement (licked 6-8 times /day); but in this study, the calves received three times the daily supplement. Thus, in the study of Carvalho Filho et al. (2003) the calves were able to ingest 135g/d NaCl, but in the present study they ingested only 90g/d in the treatment with the highest NaCl amount.

CONCLUSIONS

It is possible to provide up to 90g/d of NaCl via proteic-energetic supplements for calves in the post-weaning period without prejudice to their weight development. The greater amount of NaCl added to the concentrate caused changes in the dynamics of going to the trough, as the daily intake of 90g of NaCl caused a significant increase in daily water consumption.

Ingestion of up to 90g/d of NaCl for 135 days did not result in damage to the health of the calves or in drastic change in the number of rumen protozoa, ammonia concentration, osmolarity and rumen pH.

Table 2. Average daily intake (ADI) of water for animals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental group</th>
<th>17/2/08</th>
<th>15/3/08</th>
<th>18/4/08</th>
<th>ADI (L/d/Animal)</th>
<th>SE*</th>
<th>% Drunk at day</th>
<th>% Drunk at night</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 gNaCl/d</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 gNaCl/d</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SE = standard error of average.
Fig. 2. Rumen protozoa (cells/mL), \( \text{NH}_3 \) (mg/mL), osmolarity (mM\text{ol}/L) and pH.
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