Introduction

Antibiotics, drugs and chemicals have been used for treating fish disease caused by environmental stress and other factors for years. However, these are often effective for only a short time and may accumulate in the environment. In the past, the immunological approach to preventing fish disease has been by vaccination against specific pathogens, where vaccines were used for treating a particular disease (Siwicki et al. 1994).

Immunostimulants is important to health as provides the building block of defence mechanisms and protection against disease several promising adjuvants, natural and synthetic drugs and biological modifiers have been tested in fish in vivo and in vitro. The substance are effective in stimulating or modulating non-specific defence mechanism, and offer protection against viral and bacterial diseases fish (Kodama et al. 1993, Siwicki et al. 1994, Mulero et al. 1998, Cuesta et al. 2002a).

Levamisole is a levo-isomer of tetramisole [6]. Previous studies have suggested that levamisole treatment leads to an enhanced state of resistance to various kinds of infections (Jeney et al. 1994, Mulero et al. 1998, Findlay & Mundlauy 2000). Levamisole is a registered and accepted drug for the Europeen Comminity and the United States Food and Drug Administration, its metabolism is not well known. Toxicity and tissue residues have been reported after its use in some animals but not for fish (Cuesta et al. 2002b). The purpose of this study was to determine the influence of bath the levamisole on prophylactic effect Yersinia ruckeri in the intensive culture of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss).

Materials and Methods

Healthy Oncorhynchus mykiss average weighing 6.3g, were obtained from local fish farming, Elazig, Turkey. The fish were kept in 90 L tanks, with water recirculation. The water was maintained at 14±2°C, pH 7.3±0.1, and dissolved O2 9.2±0.4mg ml⁻¹. The fish were red twice daily, 7 days a week. The fish were divided into 4 groups, with 30 fish in each group. After a 2 week acclimation period, the experimental groups were bathed for 2 h with 5, 10 and 25μg ml⁻¹ levamisole. Control fish were immersed in tap water on the same schedule. Afterwards, all fish were immersed with 9.8x10⁶ cell ml⁻¹ of live Yersinia ruckeri for 2 minutes. Resulting deaths in the challenged fish were monitored for 21 days. Dead fish were removed and subjected to bacteriological examination. For each treatment, three replicates and control groups were used. The relative percentage of survival (RPS) (Amend, 1981) was calculated to evaluate the efficacy of vaccination as:

\[ \text{RPS} = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\%\text{mortality in vaccinated fish}}{\%\text{mortality in control}} \right) \right] \times 100 \]
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The mortality percentages and RPS obtained in the vaccination study were analysed using t-student test.

RESULTS
After challenge with cohabitants that were inoculated with *Yersinia ruckeri*, the relative percentage of survival (RPS) in all treatment groups was 83.3%, 86.7% and 74.66%, respectively. However, fish that took bath without levamisole (control group) showed 100% mortality (Table 1, Fig. 1). The survival levels in the levamisole-bathed groups were significantly different (p<0.05). But, there were significant differences between all the experimental groups and control group. Bacteriological analysis showed that dead fish (kidney inocula) infected with the inoculated bacteria.

Table 1. Mortality rates of rainbow trout after challenged

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Infected fish</th>
<th>Dead fish</th>
<th>Mortality rates (%)</th>
<th>Protection rates (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental I</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.66</td>
<td>83.3&lt;sup&gt;a,d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental II</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>86.7&lt;sup&gt;a,b,d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental III</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23.34</td>
<td>74.6&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Control, <sup>b</sup> Experiment I, <sup>c</sup> Experiment II, <sup>d</sup> Experiment III, p<0.05.

![Fig.1. Percentage cumulative mortality after the inoculation with *Yersinia ruckeri* on control and experiment groups.](image)

DISCUSSION
A variety of immunomodulatory effects of levamisole has been established in higher vertebrates. Among the most widespread effects seems to be enhancement of non-specific and specific immunity. The patterns of assays for the non-specific defence factors, the specific immune response and challenge tests all correlated with one another, strongly suggesting some relationship (Jeney & Anderson 1993). The results presented in this paper show that Rainbow trout can be successfully vaccinated against infection of *Yersinia ruckeri* by bath of different doses of levamisole. A significantly lower mortality was achieved in all vaccinated groups than control, the fish were challenged with dose containing 9.8x10<sup>6</sup> cells ml<sup>-1</sup> of *Y. ruckeri*.

After treatment with levamisole several fish species showed enhanced resistance to on experimental challenge with pathogenic bacteria. The use of levamisole with vaccines to increase the efficacy of the immune response against pathogenic microorganisms (Anderson & Jeney 1992, Jeney & Anderson 1993, Mulero et al. 1998, Sahoo & Mukherjee 2002).

In this study all groups of fish given a bath treatment of levamisole had increased response against *Y. ruckeri* infection when compared to control fish. In those circumstances where the capacity to mount an immune response may be adequate to ensure improved protection. Rainbow trout were experimentally infected with the virulent strain *Y. ruckeri*, and the protection rates of treatment I, II and III were 83.3%, 86.7% and 74.6%, respectively, as suggested previously in other studies. Olivier et al. (1985), pointed out that Coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and Chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) injected with levamisole mixed with Freund’s complete adjuvant (FCA) showed increased resistance to *Escherichia coli*. Kajita et al. (1990), reported that rainbow trout injected with levamisole showed increased protection against *Vibrio anguillarum*, caused by the enhancement of non-specific immune responses such as phagocytic activity, chemiluminescence responses of leucocytes and NK cell activities. Baba et al. (1993), reported that carp immersed in a levamisole bath (10mg/ml, 24 h) showed enhanced resistance against *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Mulero et al. (1998), also reported that gilthead seabream fed with levamisole enhanced resistance against *Vibrio anguillarum*. Baruah & Prasad (2001), described that *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* were fed diets containing 0 (control), 125 and 250mg levamisole kg<sup>-1</sup> dry diet for 115 days, and then were experimentally infected with the virulent strain *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, and showed that the death of shrimp was delayed compared to that observed in control. Gopalakannan & Arul (2006), reported that carp the treated with levamisole were challenged with *A. hydrophila* on the 45<sup>th</sup> day, the relative percentage survival (RPS) was significantly higher in levamisole (66.7%) fed fishes. However, the RPS decreased to 57.8% on the 90<sup>th</sup> day after the challenge with *A. hydrophila*. Our results are in agreement with the results in previous investigations. Our results showed similarity to the results of previously investigated.

The findings in this study are in accordance with the previously discussed studies of the effects of levamisole in other fish species. The results of this study may prove to be practical value given the efficacy of this drug, invasion the pathogenic microorganisms. Thus, the prophylactic use of levamisole may be of value where situations known to circumvent the capacity to mouth an immune response.
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